# EVE 161: Microbial Phylogenomics

# Class #8: Era II: rRNA Gene PCR for studying microbial diversity

UC Davis, Winter 2018 Instructor: Jonathan Eisen Teaching Assistant: Cassie Ettinger

### Midterm

#### Tree of Life

- Midterm will be posted next week
- Answering a series of questions
- Hint it might be about a paper we talked about on Day 1

JOURNAL OF BACTERIOLOGY, Jan. 1991, p. 697–703 0021-9193/91/020697-07\$02.00/0 Copyright © 1991, American Society for Microbiology

Vol. 173, No. 2

### 16S Ribosomal DNA Amplification for Phylogenetic Study

WILLIAM G. WEISBURG,\* SUSAN M. BARNS, DALE A. PELLETIER, AND DAVID J. LANE

GENE-TRAK Systems, 31 New York Avenue, Framingham, Massachusetts 01701

Received 16 April 1990/Accepted 7 November 1990

JOURNAL OF BACTERIOLOGY, Sept. 1998, p. 4765–4774 0021-9193/98/\$04.00+0 Copyright © 1998, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

,

Vol. 180, No. 18

### MINIREVIEW

### Impact of Culture-Independent Studies on the Emerging Phylogenetic View of Bacterial Diversity

PHILIP HUGENHOLTZ, BRETT M. GOEBEL, † AND NORMAN R. PACE\*

Departments of Plant and Microbial Biology and Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-3102

# Presenters?



## Questions?



JOURNAL OF BACTERIOLOGY, Jan. 1991, p. 697–703 0021-9193/91/020697-07\$02.00/0 Copyright © 1991, American Society for Microbiology

Vol. 173, No. 2

### 16S Ribosomal DNA Amplification for Phylogenetic Study

WILLIAM G. WEISBURG,\* SUSAN M. BARNS, DALE A. PELLETIER, AND DAVID J. LANE

GENE-TRAK Systems, 31 New York Avenue, Framingham, Massachusetts 01701

Received 16 April 1990/Accepted 7 November 1990



A set of oligonucleotide primers capable of initiating enzymatic amplification (polymerase chain reaction) on a phylogenetically and taxonomically wide range of bacteria is described along with methods for their use and examples. One pair of primers is capable of amplifying nearly full-length 16S ribosomal DNA (rDNA) from many bacterial genera; the additional primers are useful for various exceptional sequences. Methods for purification of amplified material, direct sequencing, cloning, sequencing, and transcription are outlined. An obligate intracellular parasite of bovine erythrocytes, *Anaplasma marginale*, is used as an example; its 16S rDNA was amplified, cloned, sequenced, and phylogenetically placed. Anaplasmas are related to the genera *Rickettsia* and *Ehrlichia*. In addition, 16S rDNAs from several species were readily amplified from material found in lyophilized ampoules from the American Type Culture Collection. By use of this method, the phylogenetic study of extremely fastidious or highly pathogenic bacterial species can be carried out without the need to culture them. In theory, any gene segment for which polymerase chain reaction primer design is possible can be derived from a readily obtainable lyophilized bacterial culture. 698 WEISBURG ET AL.

J. BACTERIOL.

#### TABLE 1. Summary of primers for the PCR amplification of eubacterial 16S rDNA<sup>a</sup>

bacteria)
st eubacteria)
1

<sup>a</sup> Primer abbreviations: f, forward; r, reverse; D, distal; P, proximal. All primer sequences are presented in 5' to 3' orientation. Linker sequences containing restriction sites for cloning are designated in lowercase letters. The "f" series of linkers all contain *Eco*RI and *Sal*I sites, and the "r" series all contain *Hin*dIII, *Bam*HI, and *XmaI* recognition sequences. Reverse primers produce sequences complimentary to the rRNA. Primers rP1, rP2, and rP3 are identical except for the 17th base from the 3' end. Under most amplification conditions, they should be functionally equivalent. Primer rP2 has the sequence corresponding to the greatest diversity of bacteria.

CONS=90% E. coli An.margi fD1 fD2 fD3 fD4	AGAGUUUGAUC UGGCUCAG GAACGCUGGCGG - GC U A ACAUGCAAGUCG CG AAAUUGAAGAGUUUGAUCAUGGCUCAGAUUGAACGCUGGCGGCA-GGCCUAACACAUGCAAGUCGAACGGUAACAGGAAGAAGCUUGC agaguuugauccuggcucagAACGAACGCUGGCGGCA-AGCUUAACACAUGCAAGUCGAACGGACCGUAUACGCAGCUUGC 5'ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3' (extend)> 5'ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTTAG-3' (extend)> 5'ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTTAG-3' (extend)>
CONS=90%	G GGC ACGGGUG GUAA U A U CC GG A A C GAAA UAAUACC AU
E. coli	UUCUUUGCUGACGAGUGGCGGACGGGUGAGUAAUGUCUGGGAAACUGCUGAUGGAGGGGGGAUAACUACUGGAAACGGUAGCUAAUACCGCAUAACGUCG
An.margi	UGCGUGUAUGGUUAGUGGCAGACGGGUGAGUAAUGCAUAGGAAUCUACUAGUAGGAUAGCCACUAGAAAUGGUGGGUAAUACUGUAUAAUCCUG
CONS=90%	AAAG GA AU AG U GUUGG GGUAA GGC ACCAAG C A GA U
E. coli	CAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGACCUUCGGGCCUCUUGCCAUCGGAUGUGCCCAGAUGGGAUUAGCUAGUGGGGUAACGGCUCACCUAGGCGACGAUCCCU
An.margi	C-GGGGGAAAGAUUUAUCGCUAUUAGAUGAGCCUAUGUCAGAUUAGCUAGUUGGUGGGGUAAUGGCCUACCAAGGCGGUGAUCUGU
CONS=90%	A C G CUGAGAGG GA C G CACA UGG ACUGAGACACGG CCA ACUCCUACGGGAGGCAGCAGU GGAAU UU CAAUGG G AA CU
E. coli	AGCUGGUCUGAGAGGAUGACCAGCCACACUGGAACUGAGACACGGUCCAGACUCCUACGGGAGGCAGCAGUGGGGAAUAUUGCACAAUGGGCGCAAGCCU
An.margi	AGCUGGUCUGAGAGGAUGAUCAGCCACACUGGAACUGAGACACGGUCCAGACUCCUACGGGAGGCAGCAGCAGUGGGGAAUAUUGGACAAUGGGCGCAAGCCU
CONS=90% E. coli An.margi	GA AGC A GCCGCGUG GA GA G U GG GUAAA CU U GA G UGAC UA GAUGCAGCCAUGCCGCGUGUAUGAAGAAGGCCUUCGGGUUGUAAAGUACUUUCAGCGGGGAAGGAA
CONS=90%	A AAGC CGGCUAACU GUGCCAGCAGCGCGGGUAAUAC AGG GC AGCGUU CGGA U A UGGGCGUAAAG G G AGG G
E. coli	CCCGCAGAAGAAGCACCGGCUAACUCCGUGCCAGCAGCGCGCGGUAAUACGGAGGGGGGCAAGCGUUAAUCGGAAUUACUGGGCGUAAAGCGCAGGCG
An.margi	CCUACAGAAGAAGUCCCGGCAAACUCCGUGCCAGCAGCCGCGGUAAUACGGAGGGGGCAAGCGUUGUUCGGAAUUAUUGGGCGUAAAGGGCAUGUAGGCG
CONS=90%	G AGU G GU AAA GCU AAC A AC CU GA AG GG GAAUU GUGUA
E. coli	GUUUGUUAAGUCAGAUGUGAAAUCCCCGGGCUCAACCUGGGAACUGCAUCUGAUACUGGCAAGCUUGAGUCUCGUAGAGGGGGGUAGAAUUCCAGGUGUA
An.margi	GUUUGGUAAGUUAAAGGUGAAAUACCAGGGCUUAACCCUGGGGCUGCUUUUAAUACUGCAGGACUAGAGUCCGGAAGAGGAUAGCGGAAUUCCUAGUGUA
CONS=90%	G GGUGAAAU CGUAGA AU A GAA ACC U GCGAAGGC CUGG A UGAC CU A G CGAAAGCGUGGGGAGC AACAGGA
E. coli	GCGGUGAAAUGCGUAGAGAUCUGGAGGAGAAUACCGGUGGCGAAGGCGGCGCCCCCUGGACGAAGACUGACGCUCAGGUGCGAAAGCGUGGGGAGCAAACAGGA
An.margi	GAGGUGAAAUUCGUAGAUAUUAGGAGGAACACCAGUGGCGAAGGCGGCUGUCUGGUCCGGUACUGACGCUGAGGUGCGAAAGCGUGGGGAGCAAACAGGA
CONS=90%	UUAGAUACCCUGGUAGUCCACGC UAAACGAUG U GU G G AG AACGC UAA CCGCCUGGG
E. coli	UUAGAUACCCUGGUAGUCCACGCCGUAAACGAUGUCGACUUGGAGGUUGUGCCCUUGAGGCGUGCCUUCCGGAGCUAACGCGUUAAGUCGACCGCCUGGG
An.margi	UUAGAUACCCUGGUAGUCCACGCUGUAAACGAUGAGUGCUGAAUGUGGGGGGC-UUUUGCCUCUGUGUUGUAgcUAACGCGUUAAGCACUCCGCCUGGG
CONS=90%	AGUACG CGCAAG U AAACUCAAA GAAUUGACGGGG CCCGCACAAGCGG GGAG AUGUGGUUUAAUUCGA G ACGCG GAACCUUACC
E. coli	GAGUACGGCCGCAAGGUUAAAACUCAAAUGAAUUGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGUGGAGCAUGUGGUUUAAUUCGAUGCAACGCGAAGAACCUUACCUG
An.margi	GACUACGGUCGCAAGACUAAAACUCAAAGGAAUUGACGGGGACnCGCACAAGCGGUGGAGCAUGUGGUUUAAUUCGAUGCAACGCGAAAAACCUUACCAC
CONS=90% E. coli An.margi	UUGACAU -GA A ACAGGUG UGCAUGG UGUCGUCAGCUCGUG CGUGAG U GUCUUGACAUCCACGGAA-GUUUUCA-GAGAUGAGAAU-GUGCCUUCG-GGAACCGUGAGACAGGUGCUGCAUGGCUGGUCGUCAGCUCGUGUGUGU
CONS=90%	GUUGGGUUAAGUCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCC GUU C A C G G ACUC ACUGCC G AA GGAGGAAGG
E. coli	GUUGGGUUAAGUCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCUUAUCCUUUGUUGCCAGCGGUC-CGGCCGGGAACUCAAAGGAGACUGCCAGUGAUAAACUGGAGGAAGG
An.margi	GUUGGGUUAAGUCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCUCAUCCUUAGUUACCAGCGGGUAAUGCCGGGCACUUUAAGGAAACUGCCAGUGAUAAACUGGAGAAGG
CONS=90%	G GGA GACGUCAA UC UCAUG CCCUUA G GGGCUACACACGU CUACAAUGG ACA G G GC A G GA AGC AA C
E. coli	UGGGGAUGACGUCAAGUCAUCAUGGCCCUUACGACCAGGGCUACACACGUGCUACAAUGGCGCAUACAAAGAGAAGCGACCUCGCGAGAGCAAGCGGACC
An.margi	UGGGGAUGAUGUCAAGUCAGGACGGCCCUUAUGGGGUGGGCUACAACGUGCUACAAUGGCGACUACAAUAGGUUGCAACGUCGCAAGGCUGAGCUAAUC
CONS=90%	AAA UC AGU CGGAU G CUGCAACUCG C UGAAG GGA U GCUAGUAAUCG AUCAG A CGGUGAAUACGUUC
E. coli	UCAUAAAGUGCGUCGUAGUCCGGAUUGGAGUCUGCAACUCGACUCCAUGAAGUCGGAAUGCGUAGUAAUCGUGGAUCAGAAUACCGUGC
An.margi	CGU-AAAAGUCGUCUCAGUUCGGAUUGUCCUCUGUAACUCGAGGGCAUGAAGUCGGAAUCGCUAGUAAUCGUGGAUCAGCAUGCCACGGUGAAUACGUUC
CONS=90% E. coli An.margi	CGGG CUUGUACACACCGCCCGUCA CA G AG AAG AACC GGA C A GU G CCGGGCCUUGUACACACCGCCCGUCACACACAUGGGAGUGGGUUGCAAAAGAAGUAGGUAG
CONS=90%	A UGGG AAGUCGUAACAAGGUA CC UA GAA UG GG UGGAU ACCUCCUUU
E. coli	ACUGGGGUGAAGUCGUAACAAGGUAACCGUAGGGGAACCUGCGGUUGGAUCACCUCCUUA
An.margi	ACUGGGGUGAAGUCGUAACAAGGUAGCUGUAGGUGAACCUGCggcuggaucaccuccuu
rDl	( (extend) 3'-CCGACCTAGTGGAGGAAttcgaacctagggccc5'
rP1 rP2 rP3	<pre>&lt; 3'-TICAGCATIGITCATIGGCAttcgaacctagggccc-5' &lt; 3'-TTCAGCATIGITCCATAGGCAttcgaacctagggccc-5'</pre>



TABLE 1. Summary of primers for the PCR amplification of eubacterial 16S rDNA<sup>a</sup>

Primer	Sequence (5' to 3')	Designed for:
fD1	ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG	Most eubacteria
fD2	ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAGTTTGATCATGGCTCAG	Enterics and relatives
fD3	ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTTAG	Borrelia spirochetes
fD4	ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAATTTGATCTTGGTTCAG	Chlamydiae
rD1	cccgggatccaagcttAAGGAGGTGATCCAGCC	Many eubacteria
rP1	cccgggatccaagcttACGGTTACCTTGTTACGACTT	Enterics (and most eubacteria)
rP2	cccgggatccaagcttACGGCTACCTTGTTACGACTT	Most eubacteria
rP3	cccgggatccaagcttACGGATACCTTGTTACGACTT	Fusobacteria (and most eubacteria)

<sup>a</sup> Primer abbreviations: f, forward; r, reverse; D, distal; P, proximal. All primer sequences are presented in 5' to 3' orientation. Linker sequences containing restriction sites for cloning are designated in lowercase letters. The "f" series of linkers all contain *Eco*RI and *Sal*I sites, and the "r" series all contain *Hin*dIII, *Bam*HI, and *Xma*I recognition sequences. Reverse primers produce sequences complimentary to the rRNA. Primers rP1, rP2, and rP3 are identical except for the 17th base from the 3' end. Under most amplification conditions, they should be functionally equivalent. Primer rP2 has the sequence corresponding to the greatest diversity of bacteria.

AGAGUUUGAUC UGGCUCAG GAACGCUGGCGG - GC U A ACAUGCAAGUCG CG AAAUUGAAGAGUUUGAUCAUGGCUCAGAUUGAACGCUGGCGGCA-GGCCUAACACAUGCAAGUCGAACGGUAACAGGAAGAAGCUUGC CONS=90∜ E. coli agaguuugauccuggcucagAACGAACGCUGGCGGCA-AGCUUAACACAUGCAAGUCGAACGGACCGUAUACGCAGCUUGC An.margi 5'ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTCAG-3' (extend) ----> 5'ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAGTTTGATCATGGCTCAG-3' (extend) ----> 5'ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAGTTTGATCCTGGCTTAG-3' (extend) ----> f D1 fD2 fD3 5'ccgaattcgtcgacaacAGAATTTGATCTTGGTTCAG-3' (extend) ----> £D4 G GGC ACGGGUG GUAA U A U CC GAAA UAAUACC AU GGAAC CONS=90% UUCUUUGCUGACGAGUGGCGGACGGGUGAGUAAUGUCUGGGAAACUGCCUGAUGGAGGGGGGAUAACUACUGGAAACGGUAGCUAAUACCGCAUAACGUCG E. coli UGCGUGUAUGGUUAGUGGCAGACGGGUGAGUAAUGCAUAGGAAUCUACCUAGUAGUAUGGGAUAGCCACUAGAAAUGGUGGGUAAUACUGUAUAAUCCUG An.margi AU AG U GUUGG GGUAA GGC ACCAAG C A GA GA CONS=90% AAAG CAAGACCAAAGAGGGGGGACCUUCGGGCCUCUUGCCAUCGGAUGUGCCCAGAUGGGAUUAGCUAGUAGGUGGGGUAACGGCUCACCUAGGCGAUCCCU C-GGGGGAAAGA------UUUA-----UCGCUAUUAGAUGAGCCUAUGUCAGAUUAGCUAGUUGGUGGGGUAAUGGCCUACCAAGGCGGUGAUCUGU E. coli An.margi CONS=90% A C G CUGAGAGG GA C G CACA UGG ACUGAGACACGG CCA ACUCCUACGGGAGGCAGCAGU GGAAU UU CAAUGG G AA CU E. coli Agcuggucugagaggaugaccagccacacugggacugagacagguccagacuccuacgggaggcagcaggggggaauauugggcgcaaugggcgaacu An.margi AGCUGGUCUGAGAGGAUGAUCAGCCACACUGGAACUGAGACACGGUCCAGACUCCUACGGGAGGCAGCAGUGGGGAAUAUUGGACAAUGGGCGCAAGCCU AGC A GCCGCGUG GA GA G U GG GUAAA CU U GA G UGAC UA CONS=90% GA GAUGCAGCCAUGCCGCGUGUAUGAAGAAGGCCUUCGGGUUGUAAAGUACUUUCAGCGGGGAGGAAGGGAGUAAAGUUAAUACCUUUGCUCAUUGACGUUA E. coli GAUCCAGCUAUGCCGCGUGAGUGAGGAAGGCCUUAGGGUUGUAAAACUCUUUCAGUAGGGAAGAU-----AAUGACGGUA An.margi CONS=90% E. coli CCUACAGAAGAAGUCCCGGCAAACUCCGUGCCAGCAGCCGCGGGAAUACGGGGGGCAAGCGUUGUUCGGAAUUAUUGGGCGUAAAGGGCAUGUAGGCG An.margi CU GA AG GG GAAUU GUGUA CONS=90% G AGU G GU AAA GCU AAC A AC E. coli An.margi G GGUGAAAU CGUAGA AU A GAA ACC U GCGAAGGC CUGG A UGAC CU A G CGAAAGCGUGGGGGAGC AACAGGA GCGGUGAAAUGCGUAGAGAUCUGGAGGAAUACCGGUGGCGAAGGCGGCCCCCUGGACGAAGACUGACGCUCAGGUGCGAAAGCGUGGGGAGCAAACAGGA CONS=90% G GGUGAAAU CGUAGA AU E. coli GAGGUGAAAUUCGUAGAUAUUAGGAGGAACACCAGUGGCGAAGGCGGCUGUCUGGUCCGGUACUGACGCUGAGGUGCGAAAGCGUGGGGGAGCAAACAGGA An.margi AG AACGC UAA CCGCCUGGG CONS=90% UUAGAUACCCUGGUAGUCCACGC UAAACGAUG U GU G G UUAGAUACCCUGGUAGUCCACGCCGUAAACGAUGUCGACUUGGAGGUUGUGCCCUUGAGGCGUGGCUUCCGGAGCUAACGCGUUAAGUCGACCGCCUGGG UUAGAUACCCUGGUAGUCCACGCUGUAAACGAUGAGUGCUGAAUGUGGGGGC-UUUU--GCCUCUGUGUUGUAgcUAACGCGUUAAGCACUCCGCCUGGG E. coli An.marqi AGUACG CGCAAG U AAACUCAAA GAAUUGACGGGG CCCGCACAAGCGG GGAG AUGUGGUUUAAUUCGA G ACGCG GAACCUUACC CONS=90% GAGUACGGCCGCAAGGUUAAAACUCAAAUGAAUUGACGGGGGCCCGCACAAGCGGUGGAGCAUGUGGUUUAAUUCGAUGCAACGCGAAGAACCUUACCUG E. coli GACUACGGUCGCAAGACUAAAACUCAAAGGAAUUGACGGGGGACnCGCACAAGCGGUGGAGCAUGUGGUUUAAUUCGAUGCAACGCGAAAAACCUUACCAC An.margi ACAGGUG UGCAUGG UGUCGUCAGCUCGUG CGUGAG U CONS=90% UUGACAU -GA A GUCUUGACAUCCACGGAA-GUUUUCA-GAGAUGAGAAU-GUGCCUUCG-GGAACCGUGAGACAGGUGCUGCAUGGCUGUCGUCAGCUCGUGUUGUGAAAU E. coli UUCUUGACAUGGAGGCUAGAUCCUUCUUAACAGAGGGCG-CAGUUCGGCUGGGCCUCGCACAGGUGCUGCAUGGCUGUCGUCAGCUCGUGAGAU An.margi GUUGGGUUAAGUCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCC GUUCACCAGCGGUC-CGGCCGGGAACUCAAAGGAGACUGCCAGUGAUAAACUGGAGGAAGG GUUGGGUUAAGUCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCUUAUCCUUGUUGCCAGCGGUC-CGGCCGGGAACUCAAAGGAGACUGCCAGUGAUAAACUGGAGGAAGG GUUGGGUUAAGUCCCGCAACGAGCGCAACCCUCAUCCUUAGUUACCAGCGGGUAAUGCCGGGCACUUUAAGGAAACUGCCAGUGAUAAACUGGAGGAAGG CONS=90% E. coli An.margi ACA G G GC A CONS=90% G GGA GACGUCAA UC UCAUG CCCUUA G GGGCUACACACGU CUACAAUGG GGA UGGGGAUGACGUCAAGUCAUCAUGGCCCUUACGACCAGGGCUACACACGUGCUACAAUGGCGCAUACAAAGAGAAGCGACCUCGCGAGAGCAAGCGACC E. coli UGGGGAUGAUGUCAAGUCAGGACGGCCCUUAUGGGGUGGGCUACACACGUGCUACAAUGGCGACUACAAUAGGUUGCAACGUCGCAAGGCUGAGCUAAUC An.margi CGGUGAAUACGUUC UC AGU CGGAU G CUGCAACUCG C UGAAG GGA U GCUAGUAAUCG AUCAG A CONS=90% UCAUAAAGUGCGUCGUAGUCCGGAUUGGAGUCUGCAACUCGACUCCAUGAAGUCGGAAUCGCUAGUAAUCGUGGAUCAGAAUGCCACGGUGAAUACGUUC E. coli CGU-AAAAGUCGUCUCAGUUCGGAUUGUCCUCUGUAACUCGAGGGCAUGAAGUCGGAAUCGCUAGUAAUCGUGGAUCAGCAUGCCACGGUGAAUACGUUC An.margi CONS=90% E. coli UCGGGUCUUGUACACACUGCCCGUCACGCCAUGGGAAUUGGCUUAACUCGAAGCUGGUGCGCCCAACCGUAAGGAGGCAGCCAUUUAAGGUUGGGUCGGUG An.margi AAGUCGUAACAAGGUA CC UA GAA UG GG UGGAU ACCUCCUUU CONS=90% A UGGG ACUGGGGUGAAGUCGUAACAAGGUAACCGUAGGGGAACCUGCGGUUGGAUCACCUCCUUA E. coli ACUGGGGUGAAGUCGUAACAAGGUAGCUGUAGCUGUAACCUGCggcuggaucaccuccuu <---- (extend) 3'-CCGACCTAGTGGAGGAAttcgaacctagggccc5' <---- 3'-TTCAGCATTGTTCCATTGGCAttcgaacctagggccc-5' An.margi rDl rPl <---- 3'-TTCAGCATTGTTCCATCGGCAttcgaacctagggccc-5</pre> rP2 rP3 <---- 3'-TTCAGCATTGTTCCATAGGCAttcgaacctagggccc-5</pre>

FIG. 1. Sequence alignment of the amplification primers with 16S rRNAs of *E. coli*, *A. marginale*, and a eubacterial consensus sequence. The consensus shows positions that are greater than 90% conserved for a phylogenetically diverse collection of approximately 85 bacterial sequences.

# TABLE 2. Primer combinations that have been proven to produce an approximately 1,500-bp fragment

Species	Primer pair
Neisseria gonorrhoeae	$\dots fD1 + rD1$
Coxiella burnetii	$\dots fD1 + rD1$
Anaplasma marginale	fD1 + rD1
Neisseria meningitidis	$\dots fD1 + rD1$
Bacteroides fragilis	$\dots fD1 + rP2$
Borrelia burgdorferi	
Borrelia hermsii	fD3 + rD1
Clostridium perfringens	$\dots fD1 + rD1$
Mycoplasma pneumoniae	$\dots fD1 + rP1$
Mycoplasma hominis	$\dots fD1 + rP1$
Mycoplasma genitalium	fD1 + $rP1$
Ureaplasma urealyticum	fD1 + $rP1$
Campylobacter jejuni	fD1 + $rP1$
Shigella flexneri	fD2 + $rP1$
Shigella sonnei	$\dots fD2 + rP1$
Chlamydia psittaci	$\dots fD4 + rD1$
Chlamydia trachomatis	fD4 + rD1
Chlamydia pneumoniae	fD4 + rD1
Mycobacterium bovis	fD1 + $rD1$
Legionella pneumophila	fD1 + $rD1$

Primer	Phylogenetic grouping and genera which should amplify with indicated primer <sup>a</sup>	
fD1	Gram-positive bacteria and relatives Bacillus, Clostridium, Staphylococcus, Listeria, Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, Mycoplasma, Spi- roplasma, Ureaplasma, Acholeplasma, Erysipelothrix, Fusobacterium, Arthrobacter, Myco- bacterium, Streptomyces	
	Purple bacteria and relatives (proteobacteria) Rochalimaea, Brucella, Rhodopseudomonas, Agrobacterium, Rhodospirillum, Pseudomonas, Neisseria, Caulobacter, Myxococcus, Campylobacter, Rickettsia, Ehrlichia Cyanobacteria	
	Anacystis (Synechococcus)	
	Bacteroides/flavobacteria	
	Bacteroides, Flavobacterium	
	Deinococcus and relatives Deinococcus. Thermus	
	Spirochetes	
	Treponema, Spirochaeta	
	Planctomyces and relatives	
	Planctomyces	
	Chlorobium-green sulfur bacteria	
	Chiorobium Thermotoga	
	Thermotoga	
fD2	Enteric members of gamma subdivision of proteobacteria Escherichia Shinella Salmonella Serratia Erwinia and Citrobacter etc. (all the enterics):	
	Oceanospirillum, Haemophilus, Actinobacillus, Vibrio, Pasteurella	
fD3	Spirochetes of the genus Borrelia	
fD4	Genus Chlamydia	
rD1	Purple bacteria and relatives (proteobacteria)	
	Pseudomonas, Neisseria, Rochalimaea,	
	Agrobacterium, Myxococcus, Desulfovibrio	
	Gram-positive bacteria and relatives	
	Bacillus, Staphylococcus, Arthrobacter, Streptomyces, Muschastarium, Haliohastarium	
	Cvanobacteria	
	Anacystis (Synechococcus)	
	Spirochetes	
	Treponema, Leptospira	
	Planctomyces	
	Planctomyces	
	Chlorobium	
	Thermotoga	
	Thermotoga (plus selected archaebacteria)	
rP1, rP2, or rP3 (probably all functionally equivalent)	Should prime all bacteria, plus plant mitochondria, chloroplasts, archaebacteria, and Dictyostelium, but not yeasts or vertebrates	

TABLE 3. Theoretical specificity of amplification primers for 16S rDNA

<sup>a</sup> Primers are considered applicable if there is a perfect match for approximately 15 bases at the 3' end of the primer. The list is definitive only in the sense that the taxa mentioned represent the sequences available to the authors. The absence of a genus from the list does not imply that the primer will not work. Because the majority of the available rRNA sequences are derived from direct sequencing of rRNAs with reverse transcriptase, there is far less information available about the 3' end of the 16S. In some cases, the indicated genus is represented by numerous species; in other cases the indicated genus is represented by only one. The sequence alignment from which these data were derived is unpublished (12, 24). Phylogenetic groupings are those of Woese (23).



FIG. 2. Ethidium-bromide-stained 0.75% agarose gel displaying amplification products. Lanes: 1, *B. fragilis* DNA (fD1 + rP2); 2, *C. perfringens* DNA (fD1 + rD1); 3, *C. psittaci* DNA (fD4 + rD1); 4, *B. burgdorferi* DNA (fD3 + rD1); 5, lyophilized ampoule-derived *S. sanguis* DNA (fD1 + rP2); 6, lyophilized ampoule-derived *B. fragilis* DNA (fD1 + rP2); 7, lyophilized ampoule-derived *C. perfringens* DNA (fD1 + rD1); 8, lyophilized ampoule-derived *Y. enterocolitica* DNA (fD2 + rP1); 9, lyophilized ampoule-derived *P. magnus* DNA (fD1 + rD1); 10, lyophilized ampoule-derived *M. smegmatis* DNA (fD1 + rP1); 11, lyophilized ampoule-derived *M. phlei* DNA (fD1 + rD1); 12, *Hin*dIII digest of lambda phage. Labeled bands include 23,130, 9,416, 6,557, 2,322, and 2,027 bp.

TABLE 4. Percentage similarity and evolutionary distance (9) for nine bacteria belonging to the alpha subdivision of the purple bacteria(23), plus E. coli (a gamma bacterium) as an outgroup<sup>a</sup>

Pastorium				% Si	milarity/ev	olutionary dista	ance $(\times 100)^b$		· · · ·	
Bactenum	E. coli	R. palustris	R. rubrum	A. marginale	E. risticii	R. prowazekii	R. rickettsii	R. quintana	B. abortus	A. tumefaciens
Escherichia coli		81.0	84.0	81.2	78.6	80.5	80.3	81.2	81.9	81.2
Rhodopseudomonas palustris	21.8		88.5	83.3	82.1	85.4	85.3	89.3	90.0	89.3
Rhodospirillum rubrum	18.0	12.4		84.9	84.9	84.9	84.9	87.9	88.9	88.4
Anaplasma marginale	21.5	18.8	16.8	_	86.9	86.0	86.2	85.6	85.3	85.8
Ehrlichia risticii	25.2	20.4	20.0	14.3	_	84.6	84.6	83.2	83.8	84.2
Rickettsia prowazekii	22.7	16.2	16.8	15.4	17.2	_	99.0	87.0	87.1	86.9
Rickettsia rickettsii	22.7	16.3	16.8	15.2	17.1	0.9	<u></u>	87.1	87.1	86.9
Rochalimaea quintana	21.7	11.5	13.1	16.0	18.9	14.2	14.1	<u></u>	95.3	94.7
Brucella abortus	20.7	10.7	11.9	16.3	18.2	14.1	14.1	4.8		94.9
Agrobacterium tumefaciens	21.7	11.5	12.6	15.7	17.6	14.3	14.3	5.5	5.2	

<sup>a</sup> A mask was used which eliminated a small number of positions from consideration within the alignment; all positions in which base composition was not at least 50% conserved were eliminated. All of the sequences represented may be obtained from Genbank except R. palustris which was used courtesy of C. R. Woese.

<sup>b</sup> Numbers below the diagonal indicate evolutionary distance.





#### **Evolutionary Distance**

FIG. 3. Phylogenetic distance tree displaying the evolutionary origin of *A. marginale* within a lineage shared by the genera *Rickettsia* and *Ehrlichia*. All species belonging to the order *Rickettsiales* are shown in boldface type. *E. coli* is used as an outgroup sequence.

**Conclusion.** The amplification by PCR of a taxonomically diverse collection of eubacterial 16S rDNA genes is possible with a small number of primers. These products can readily be cloned for sequencing or they can be sequenced directly. The ability to determine rRNA sequences from ATCC lyophilized ampoules, without culture, enables the study of fastidious or pathogenic species without employing tricky or expensive microbiological methods. While this should not be a routine substitute for growing bacteria, picking individual colonies, and confirming their phenotypic and biochemical identities, it will enable experiments to be performed that were not previously possible.



JOURNAL OF BACTERIOLOGY, Sept. 1998, p. 4765–4774 0021-9193/98/\$04.00+0 Copyright © 1998, American Society for Microbiology. All Rights Reserved.

## MINIREVIEW

### Impact of Culture-Independent Studies on the Emerging Phylogenetic View of Bacterial Diversity

PHILIP HUGENHOLTZ, BRETT M. GOEBEL, † AND NORMAN R. PACE\*

Departments of Plant and Microbial Biology and Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-3102



### Hugenholtz et al

INTRODUCTION

Our perspective on microbial diversity has improved enormously over the past few decades. In large part this has been due to molecular phylogenetic studies that objectively relate organisms. Phylogenetic trees based on gene sequences are maps with which to articulate the elusive concept of biodiversity. Thus, comparative analyses of small-subunit rRNA (16S or 18S rRNA) and other gene sequences show that life falls into three primary domains, *Bacteria, Eucarya*, and *Archaea* (51, 52). Based on rRNA trees, the main extent of Earth's biodiversity is microbial. Our knowledge of the extent and character of microbial diversity has been limited, however, by reliance on the study of cultivated microorganisms. It is estimated that >99% of microorganisms observable in nature typically are not cultivated by using standard techniques (1).

Recombinant DNA and molecular phylogenetic methods have recently provided means for identifying the types of organisms that occur in microbial communities without the need for cultivation (see references 1, 20, and 35 for reviews). Results from application of these methods to a number of diverse environments confirm that our view of microbial diversity was limited and point to a wealth of novel and environmentally important diversity yet to be studied (34). It is the aim of this review to collate, compare, and incorporate the results of the environmental sequence-based studies into the context of known bacterial diversity. We discuss the sequence data at the taxonomic level of the phylogenetic division because divisions constitute first-order clades for describing the breadth of bacterial diversity. Although we have yet to determine even the outlines of the bacterial tree, common threads are beginning to emerge that revise our current views of bacterial diversity and distribution in the environment.

### Hugenholtz et al

#### **Tree of Life**

 Although we have yet to determine even the outlines of the bacterial tree, common threads are beginning to emerge that revise our current views of bacterial diversity and distribution in the environment. PHYLOGENETIC DIVERSITY IN THE BACTERIAL DOMAIN



### Hugenholtz et al

#### **Tree of Life**

- These relatedness groups have variously been called "kingdoms," "phyla," and "divisions"; we use the latter term.
- For the purposes of this review we define a bacterial division purely on phylogenetic grounds as a lineage consisting of two or more 16S rRNA sequences that are reproducibly monophyletic and unaffiliated with all other division-level relatedness groups that constitute the bacterial domain
- We judge reproducibility by the use of multiple treebuilding algorithms, bootstrap analysis, and varying the composition and size of data sets used for phylogenetic analyses.

 Division-level nomenclature has not even been consistent between studies, so some divi- sions are identified by more than one name. For instance, green sulfur bacteria is synonymous with Chlorobiaceae; high- G C grampositive bacteria is synonymous with Actinobacteria and Actinomycetales. Indeed, it probably is premature to standardize taxonomic rankings for bacterial divisions at this point when our picture of microbial diversity is likely still incomplete and the topology of the bacterial tree is still unresolved.

 Figure 1 represents the division-level diversity of the bacterial domain as inferred from representatives of the approximately 8,000 bacterial 16S rRNA gene sequences currently available. Although 36 divisions are shown in Fig. 1, several other division-level lineages are indicated by single environmental sequences (9, 21, 37), suggesting that the number of bacterial divisions may be well over 40.

#### FIG. 1.

Evolutionary distance tree of the bacterial domain showing currently recognized divisions and putative (candidate) divisions. The tree was constructed using the ARB software package (with the Lane mask and Olsen ratecorrected neighbor-joining options) and a sequence database modified from the March 1997 ARB database release (43). Division-level groupings of two or more sequences are depicted as wedges. The depth of the wedge reflects the branching depth of the representatives selected for a particular division. Divisions which have cultivated representatives are shown in black; divisions represented only by environmental sequences are shown in outline. The scale bar indicates 0.1 change per nucleotide. The aligned, unmasked data sets used for this figure and Fig. 3 through 6are available from http:// crab2.berkeley.edu/pacelab/ 176.htm.



- Indeed, 13 of the 36 divisions shown in Fig. 1 are characterized only by environmental sequences (shown outlined) and so are termed "candidate divisions" new bacterial divisions
- One of these candidate divisions, OP11, is now sufficiently well represented by environmental sequences to conclude that it constitutes a major bacterial group (see below).
- Phylogenetic studies so far have not re- solved branching orders of the divisions; bacterial diversity is seen as a fanlike radiation of division-level groups (Fig. 1). The exception to this, however, is the Aquificales division, which branches most deeply in the bacterial tree in most analyses.



FABLE 1. Summary of 1	16S rRNA-based clonal	analyses of diversit	y of uncultivated bacteria"
-----------------------	-----------------------	----------------------	-----------------------------

																1	Bacto	rial	divis	ioa <sup>a</sup>																_		_
				Prot	obac	trie <sup>4</sup>																																_
Habitat type	No. of studies	No. of sequences'	ar	β	7	84	ť	<b>Cytophagoles</b>	Activobacteria	Low-O+C gram positives	Acidobacteriam	Vernacomicrobia	Spirochetes	Maropisa	GNS	0P11	Planctomycetes	Genen sulfur	TMT	TM66	Therreau(Deino- coccas	Cyanobacteria/	Synergistes	0H8	Territe group I	OS-K	Chlorydia	0P3	0140	WSI	OPS	Marine group A	Fibrobacter	Floringer	Detyoglower	Thermonigation	Thermodena/fo- bacteriam	Amiltonics
Geothermal	10	212	0	0		0		0			0		0	0	0		0	0			0					0										0		0
Soil	16	743	٠	0	0	0		0	٠	0	٠	٠			0		0																					
Marine	23	687	٠	0		0		0	0	0		0					0					0																
Freshwater	4	107	٠	0					0		0																											
Wastewater	5	430				0		0	٠	0		0			0		0																					
Pollutant associated	7	202	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0																											
Acid metal leaching	2	21	٠	0	٠			0	0	0	a			٠																								
Subsurface	6	229	0	٠	٠	0	0		0	٠				0	0	0												0										
Symbionts and commensals	10	280			٠			0		0			0																									
Disease associated	3	7		0	0			0		0			0																									
Totals	86	2,918																																				

<sup>a</sup> An expanded version of this table detailing individual studies is available at http://erab2.berkeley.edu/~pacelab/176.htm. <sup>b</sup> Incidence of division-level representatives in studies of particular habitat types ranked from most represented to least represented divisions: >75% (•), 25 to 75% (•), or <25% (no symbol) of studies have representatives of division. <sup>c</sup> Excluding organelles. <sup>d</sup> Protechasteria are presented at the subdivision level due to the extensive sequence representation of this division. <sup>c</sup> Cannot establish as a monophyletic group in all analyses.



Vot. 180, 1998



# ERRATUM

### Impact of Culture-Independent Studies on the Emerging Phylogenetic View of Bacterial Diversity

PHILIP HUGENHOLTZ, BRETT M. GOEBEL, AND NORMAN R. PACE

Departments of Plant and Microbial Biology and Molecular and Cell Biology, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, California 94720-3102

Volume 180, no. 18, p. 4765-4774, 1998. Page 4767, column 1: Table 1 should appear as shown below.

													1	Bact	eria	ıl di	visi	ons <sup>b</sup>																
Habitat type	No. of studies	No. of	I	Prote	coba	icteri	teria <sup>d</sup>		1	am positives	m bia								10COCCUS	ac			p I						V V				obacterium	
		sequences <sup>c</sup>	α	β	γ	δ <sup>d</sup>	ε	Cytophagales	Actinobacteria	TOW-G+Cgr	Acidobacteriu Verrucomicro	Spirochetes	Nitrospira	GNS	DP11	rianiomyceles	Green sultur	TM7	Thermus/Dein	Cyanobacteri	Synergistes	OP8	Termite grou	OS-K	Chiamyaia		WS1	OP5	Marine group	Fibrobacter	<b>Piexusupes</b>	Thermotoodle	Thermodesult	Aquificales
Geothermal Soil Marine Freshwater Wastewater Pollutant associated Acid metal leaching Subsurface Symbionts and commensals	10 16 23 4 5 7 2 6 10	212 743 687 107 430 202 2 229 280	0 ● ● 0 ● 0 ↓	000000000	✓0● ✓ ● 0 ● ●	<000 00 0√	✓ ✓ ✓ O	0~000~000				0 > > > >0	0 ✓ ✓	00 / 0 / 00				√ √ √ √	, C	0	✓ ✓	√ √	✓ ✓	o √``	/ `	/		√ √	~	, √	/	/ C	> √	0
Disease associated Totals	3 86	7 2.918		0	0			0	0	C		0																						

TABLE 1 Summary of 16S rRNA-based clonal analyses of diversity of uncultivated bacteria<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> An expanded version of this table detailing individual studies is available at http://crab2.berkeley.edu/~pacelab/176.htm.

<sup>b</sup> Incidence of division-level representatives in studies of particular habitat types ranked from most represented to least represented divisions: >75% (●), 25 to 75%

(○) or <25% (√) of studies have representatives of division. No symbol indicates division not detected.</p>

c Excluding organelles.

<sup>d</sup> Proteobacteria are presented at the subdivision level due to the extensive sequence representation of this division.

e Cannot establish as a monophyletic gorup in all analyses.

**FIG. 2.** Relative representation in selected cosmopolitan bacterial divisions of 16S rRNA sequences from cultivated and uncultivated organisms. Results were compiled from 5,224 and 2,918 sequences from cultivated and uncultivated organisms, respectively.



Percentage representation in ARB database

• The database of environmental rRNA sequences is compromised in resolving some phylogenetic issues by a large number of relatively short sequences. More than half of the sequences collated in Table 1 are less than 500 nucleotides (nt) long, which represents only onethird of the total length of 16S rRNA. This is due to an unfortunate trend in many environmental studies of sequencing only a portion of the gene in the belief that a few hundred bases of sequence data is sufficient for phylogenetic purposes. Indeed, 500 nt is sufficient for placement if some longer sequence is closely related (90% identity in homologous nucleotides) to the query sequence. In the case of novel sequences, 85% identical to known sequences, however, 500 nt is usually insufficient comparative information to place the sequence accurately in a phylogenetic tree and can even be misleading

### Acidobacterium

#### Acidobacterium division



### FIG. 3.

Phylogenetic dendrogram of the Acidobacterium division. Names of cultivated organisms are shown in bold. The habitat source of each environmental sequence is indicated before the clone name. GenBank accession numbers are listed parenthetically. Subdivisions (see the text) are indicated by brackets at the right of the tree. Construction of the tree was as described for Fig. 1. The robustness of the topology presented was estimated by bootstrap resampling of independent distance, parsimony, and rate-corrected maximum-likelihood analyses as previously described (2). Distance and parsimony analyses were conducted using test version 4.0d61 of PAUP\*, written by David L. Swofford. Branch points supported (bootstrap values of >75%) by most or all phylogenetic analyses are indicated by filled circles; open circles indicate branch points marginally supported (bootstrap values of 50 to 74%) by most or all analyses. Branch points without circles are not resolved (bootstrap values of <50%) as specific groups in different analyses. The scale bar indicates 0.1 change per nucleotide.

### Verrucomicrobia

#### Verrucomicrobia division



### FIG. 4.

Phylogenetic dendrogram of the Verrucomicrobia division. Names of cultivated organisms are shown bold. The habitat source of in each environmental sequence is indicated before the clone name. GenBank accession numbers are listed parenthetically. Subdivisions (see the text) are indicated by brackets at the right of the tree. Tree construction and support for branch points was as described for Fig. 1 and 3, respectively. The scale bar indicates 0.1 change per nucleotide.

### Green non sulfur



# FIG. 5.

Phylogenetic dendrogram of the GNS division. Names of cultivated organisms are shown in bold. The habitat source of each environmental sequence is indicated before the clone name. GenBank accession numbers are listed parenthetically. Subdivisions (see the text) are indicated by brackets at the right of the tree. Tree construction and support for branch points was as described for Fig. 1 and 3, respectively. The scale bar indicates 0.1 change per nucleotide.

#### **OP11** division



### FIG. 6.

1

2

3

4

5

Phylogenetic dendrogram of the OP11 division. The habitat source of each environmental sequence is indicated before the clone name. GenBank accession numbers are listed parenthetically. Subdivisions (see the text) are indicated by brackets at the right of the tree. Tree construction and support for branch points was as described for Fig. 1 and 3, respectively. The four MIM clones and F78 clone are unreleased sequences generously made available to us by Pascale Durand (10) and Floyd Dewhirst (8). The scale bar indicates 0.1 change per nucleotide.

### Conclusions

#### **Tree of Life**

- novelties are known as well, for instance, endospore formation by the low-G C gram-positive bacteria or axial filaments (endoflagella) in the spirochetes. Some biochemical properties evidently have transferred laterally among the divisions. For example, the two types of photosynthetic complexes, photosystem I (PSI) and PSII, are each distributed sporadically among the divisions, consistent with lateral transfer (3). Lateral transfer may also have resulted in combinatorial novelty among the divisions; PSI and PSII, for instance, apparently came together in the cyanobacteria to create oxygenic photosynthesis, with profound consequences to the biosphere (3).
- Many more such division-specific qualities and cooperations should become evident at the molecular level as comparative genomics gives us a sharper phylogenetic picture of bacterial diversity.



## PCR and microbial community surveys possible issues

## Where could this go "wrong"?

